

Planning Committee Meeting #6

Date & Time	May 26, 2022 9:00-11:00 AM
Invitees	Cerathel Burgess-Burnett, Chris Chavez, Dionne Dobbins, John Easton, Jon Furr, Craig Maki, Samantha Melvin, Edgar Ramirez, Terri Sabol, Diane Schanzenbach, Reshma Shah, Jennifer Vidis
	Isabel Farrar, Maia Connors Start Early Kate Tarrant Consultant Lauren Coppedge, Bianca Castro MacLaren, Danita Summers CoSpero Consulting

Time	Agenda Item	Notes & Next Steps
9:00 - 9:10 AM	Welcome & Review	
9:10 - 10:00 AM	Review Home Institution Voting	
10:00 - 10:20 AM	Home Institution Next Steps	Home Institution Subcommittee will host interviews Summer 2022
10:20 – 10:25 AM	Celebrating Progress	
10:25 - 10:35 AM	Looking Forward	



Meeting Attendees

Dionne Dobbins, Sam Melvin, Chris Chavez, Dionne Dobbins, John Easton, Craig Maki, Samantha Melvin, Edgar Ramirez, Terri Sabol, Reshma Shah, Jennifer Vidis

Isabel Farrar || Start Early Lauren Coppedge, Bianca Castro MacLaren || CoSpero Consulting

Not in Attendance: Edgar Ramirez, Diane Schanzenbach, Jon Furr



Meeting Minutes

Review Home Institution Voting

- Planning Committee determines the LOI rubric rankings will be unweighted.
- Do we have enough information on level of autonomy that the home institution would be comfortable with; how can we ensure that EC-REACH has some level of independence? Solidify governance? Example: IWERC has MOU with Discovery Partners Institute outlining clear appointment of advisory board and their role in hiring and strategy
- Do those who responded to the LOI understand our vision for governance?
- Some LOIs seem like they'd be a great match as a shared home institution
- Review other examples of centers that are structured; nonprofits structured
- Shared back office model happens frequently at nonprofit level (examples: Metropolitan Family Services; Keystone Alliance, 9 nonprofits pay into the same back-office). Can that model transfer to this situation? What other models might work?
- Dig into this more for other organization with strong data/resource sharing practices
- Commitment to experience with engagement with community. Dig into more examples
 of working with community members. Be explicit that research agenda-setting process
 involves stakeholders.
- Need more specifics about indirect costs (specific rates + what is covered)

NEXT STEP: Move forward with asking all institutions these clarifying questions.

- 1. Present desired governance model/key autonomies for feedback:
 - a. ED has hiring authority over their staff
 - b. ED has control of their budget
 - c. Steering committee & search committee has equal vote in ED hiring
- 2. Are they open to collaborating with another institution
- 3. What are their data sharing/resource sharing practices? -- true commitment to sharing data and resources
- 4. Define specific rates for indirect costs & what is included
- 5. What examples can they share of centering the voice of community-driven researchagenda process? Can they confirm that they value and support this process?

* Bring in additional neutral evaluators to support interviews – share any names

CoSpero Action Commitments: share raw scores, draft MOU (key autonomies), questions for home institution interviews, more detailed working group project plans

Working groups:

- Sam: I'm happy on external engagement but able to switch to home institution if that committee needs more support! I'm flexible.
- Jen Vidis: Will external outreach group; will consider joining Home Institution group
- Dionne + John: will pause fundraising efforts and join Home Institution group to support that work first



• CoSpero to discuss with Jon Furr if he should stay on Home Institution group or move to External Engagement

Working Group Lead Action Commitments: set up initial planning meeting with your members, invite CoSpero + Isabel

Parking Lot:

Note the questions that aren't related to the specific meeting at hand – redirect for future meeting OR other working body